# Strategic Risk Summary – Changes in Net Scores Q3 2024/25

Ref	Risk	Q2 Net	Q3 Net	Travel	Target
SR01	Increased Demand for Adult's Services	12	12	↔	9
SR02	Fragility and failure in the Social Care Market	9	9	↔	9
SR03	Complexity and Demand for Children's Services	12	12	↔	12
SR04	Dedicated School Grant Deficit	16	16	↔	16
SR05	Failure to Protect Vulnerable Children	9	9	↔	6
SR06	Failure to Achieve the MTFS	16	16	↔	9
SR07	Leadership Capacity	12	12	↔	9
SR08	Ability to Achieve Organisation Change	12	8	$\downarrow$	8
SR09	Recruitment & Retention	9	9	↔	9
SR10	Failure to Manage the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and National Policy Frameworks*	12	4	Ļ	4
SR11	Failure to Adhere to Agreed Governance Processes	9	9	↔	6
SR12	Stakeholder Expectation & Communication	12	12	↔	9
SR13	Information Security and Cyber Threat	12	12	↔	12
SR14	Business Continuity	9	9	↔	6
SR15	Capital Projects - Place	16	16	↔	12
SR17	CEC Carbon Neutral Status	16	16	$\leftrightarrow$	16
SR18	Local Planning Authority Modernisation Plan	9	9	↔	6
SR19	Delivery of the ILACS improvement plan	12	12	↔	12
SR20	SEND Inspection	12	12	↔	8
* D' I I					

\* Risk removed after this quarter

#### SR04, SR06, 4 SR12, SR13 (>75%) SR15, SR17 12 8 16 4 SR02, SR05, SR01, SR03, 3 (40-SR09, SR11, SR07, SR19, 9<sup>SR14, SR18</sup> 74%) SR 20 Likelihood 12 6 3 2 (10-SR 16 **SR08** 39%) 8 6 2 4 1 (<10%) SR 10 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 (Minor) (Tolerable) (Serious) (Major)

Impact

12 - 16	Critical Risks - Only acceptable in the short to medium- term, requires immediate action implementing and close monitoring
8 - 9	Material Risks - Areas of concern, but due to capacity and or uncontrollable external factors, these can be accepted. Expectation is that these must be actively managed with on-going monitoring to ensure they don't escalate
3 - 6	M oderate Risks - Acceptable level of risk only requiring on-going monitoring to ensure they don't develop into something more serious
1 - 2	Negligible Risks - Lowest level of risk, only kept in the register for completeness and to ensure there are no unexpected changes in the profile

### Strategic Risks Heat Map Q3 2024/25

#### Risk Name: Increased Demand for Adult Services Risk Owner: Executive Director of Adults. Health, and Integration Risk Ref: SR01 Date updated: 24th January 2025 **Risk Manager:** Director of Adult Social Care Operations Risk Description: An increase in demand for adult social services that cannot be met within the existing budget. There is currently a historically high demand for services from young adults right through to the elderly. This has been caused by an overall decrease in national adult health and wellbeing and other socio-economic factors. There has been an Gross 4 increase in responsibility and duties being transferred to LA i.e. RCRP. Detailed consequences; a failure in one area of social care, either internal or external to the council, has knock-on effects 3 Net Likelihood Target and increases pressure on other services. This can cause an on-going downwards trend in adult health and wellbeing. In addition, the council may fail in its duty of care and its objective of supporting its most vulnerable individuals. Specific 2 failures that have been seen are a reduction in preventative measure and early intervention, which ultimately increase demand. Increased pressure on practitioners causes stress related issues and reduces the appeal of working in the sector. 1 Detailed causes; due to the additional wellbeing pressures placed on residents, council staff, third-party providers and the 2 3 1 4 NHS, the volume and complexity of demand for adult services has increased materially. As have political factors such as Impact changes in legislation and resettlement agreements. Due to several different socio-economic factors recruitment and retention of staff is difficult resulting in increased use of agency staff. The increase in demand and complexity for services has not been recognised with increased established staffing, resulting in use of Agency Staff to fill the void. Interdependencies (risks): Failure of Council Funding, Fragility in the social care market, Failure of the local economy, Lead Service Committee: Adults and Organisational capacity and demand Health Committee Key Mitigating Controls (Existing): • Delivery of market engagement events, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resulting from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformation Programme. Contracts and Quality Monitoring Policy Framework, monitoring the user outcomes that partners are delivering. This helps to inform the managed decommissioning of services, in an effort to reduce service disruption. Maintaining a provider risk register with the Care Quality Commission to ensure market oversight. A standard set of fit for the future contracts, designed to ensure quality outcomes for users and ensure provider's business models remain sustainable as demand changes. Monthly quality monitoring partnership forum that reports to relevant DMTs and the Safeguarding Board. Attendees include the Police, Safeguarding, Care Quality ٠

### Individual Risk Details – Q3 2024/25

- Commission, ASC operations, Legal, CCG's and ASC lead commissioner.
- People Helping People programme, working collaboratively with partners and local volunteers to channel community-based support, reducing demand on adult • social care. The sourcing/brokerage team support the co-ordination of these services, helping vulnerable people to access non-council support where appropriate.
- Direct payment scheme, allowing users identify and manage their own care support. •

## OFFICIAL

- The preventative policy framework standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "front door. When appropriate, directing users to approved community solutions, which can provide non-traditional benefits to those individuals and help maintain their independence.
- Annual financial and resource planning by ASC services, considering expected demand, funding, the local social care market and other socio-economic trends.
- Regular service/team meetings to disseminate information and discuss operational issues.
- Involvement in the North West regional and local programme of work pertaining to health and care staff recruitment, retention, and selection resulting in a robust career path being developed with key partners and in being clear pertaining to local strategy.
- Collaborative working with other services, such Public Health, where objectives align and communication is required to delivery value for money. Utilisation of Public Health JSNA and wider regional data sets inform future planning. The joint commissioning management monthly working group seeks to ensure ASC is working effectively and efficiently with other Children and Family services.
- Engagement with the Integrated Care Partnership, including health partners.
- Regular ASC reporting to CLT and Adult and Health Committee on performance, expenditure/budget and demand. On-going management of services, based on performance, expenditure/budget and demand management information. Trend analysis used to help predict future demand.
- Engagement with service users, collaboration with Healthwatch and other independent organisations to help drive service improvements and cost savings.
- Business continuity assessments and resiliency preparation, both internally and with key partners.
- Implementing recommendations of independent review. All care plans presented to senior leasers board for authorisation of spend.
- Tighter controls on hospital discharge will impact relationship with ICB colleagues.
- 3 times weekly Quality, Performance and Authorisation Board to review every request for care, since the start of this regime over 2000 cases have been reviewed to ensure that the package of care is effective and efficient.
- Weekly Extended leadership meeting to review budget, spend and activity.
- Inner Circle Transformation Partners working alongside ASC staff to transform services and reduce spend.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:

Prevent, Reduce, Enable transformation programme	Phased implementation from May 2025

**Comments this quarter:** As predicted the reduction of agency staff within the service has resulted in increased waiting times for assessments, this reduction has been seen in the services provided during quarter two. All waiting lists are monitored weekly to manage the risks, we are implementing a process of 'waiting well' to mitigate deterioration in health and wellbeing of individuals and their carers. We have also recruited two additional workers to undertake assessments for discharges from out of area hospitals which are rising due to the specialist nature of some hospital trusts. Providers of services continue to push for increased fees, however we have started to implement the care cubed model to scrutinise care costs, we are working through the analysis of the initial tranche of providers who have been through this process we have identified some providers where their fees will be adjusted to take account of the care cubed work.

We are seeing a rise in demand from self-funded residents where their funds have reduced to a level that makes them eligible for funded social care and this demand is expected to increase. We have now initiated a project for future self funders to request an assessment and information and support from a dedicated worker to work to explore options and to maximise their funds, enabling individuals to make informed decisions regarding their future care needs and services to meet needs. Also to ensure that they have adequate funds to cover their wishes. Scrutiny of cases continues at 3 x weekly Quality, Performance and Authorisation Boards we are very aware of the risks of falling on the wrong side of the legislative framework where the council could be subject to legal challenge and therefore we review our decision making process to avoid this risk. The demand in terms of the numbers of residents requiring a service continues to be stable however the rise in the complexity of cases is seen in the scale of the assessment process and the financial costs of challenging cases. We continue to meet weekly to discuss risks and budget pressures. The deficit within the Cheshire and Mersey ICB will increase pressure on the council to pick up funding for previously funded health patients and this will require robust challenge and discussion. We are

currently in discussion with our NHS partners over a number of cases on which we disagree. It is possible that there will be an additional financial burden placed on ASC in the upcoming financial year.

**Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level:** The outcomes from the work commissioned with Impower is being actioned via HLBC, we are monitoring all support and care plans and calls for services on a 3x per week basis, Director is monitoring approx150 cases per week. Demand is constant especially for those who are 90+yrs, and for those with dementia. Cost of individual care packages remains very high with an increasing number£2000 per week.

Risk Name: Fragility and Failure in the Sc		<b>Risk Owner:</b> Executive Director of Adults, Health and Integration
Risk Ref: SR02	Date updated: 29 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	<b>Risk Manager:</b> Director of Adult and Children's Commissioning
	ocial care market. Increases in the volume and complexity in demand and fina e national social care market which have yet to be resolved.	ancial 4 Gross
without these outsourced services the over objective of people living well and for long of some care providers and result in some resident's placements. This could lead to tendering process which in some cases co	able to deliver a robust adult social care package without the use of third-part erall social adult care package would fail and the council would not be able to ger. If the Council is unable to increase fees for providers it will impact on the e packages of care being handed back to the Council or notices served on ca a need to increase the use of care providers who have not been through a fo ould result in higher costs and/or poorer quality. While due diligence is under illy co-operate with this process. It will also bring challenges in managing bud	o achieve its sustainability are home ormal taken for
	vard is the financial impacts on providers resulting from the 9.8% uplift in Nati Iflation. The current financial position of the Local Authority precludes it from	
	hieve the MTFS, Business Continuity, Failure of the Local Economy	Lead Service Committee: Adults and Health Committee
<ul> <li>safety of service delivery in an ef Commissioning to ensure market</li> <li>A standard set of fit for the future changes.</li> </ul>	e contracts, designed to ensure quality outcomes for users and ensure provid	provider risk register with the Care Quality ler's business models remain sustainable as demand
-		Drocess.
i ani y i	e diligence on care providers who have not been through a formal tendering p prcise to be undertaken with all care providers to ensure that care packages a	re sustainable and available resources are distribute
<ul> <li>Delivery of market engagement e Programme.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Programme.</li> <li>The preventative policy framework community solutions, which can prevent the solution of the solu</li></ul>	ercise to be undertaken with all care providers to ensure that care packages a events, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resulting ork standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "front do provide non-traditional benefits to those individuals and help maintain their in	from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformation or. When appropriate, directing users to approved independence.
<ul> <li>Programme.</li> <li>The preventative policy framework community solutions, which can planet and resource planet.</li> <li>Annual financial and resource planet.</li> </ul>	ercise to be undertaken with all care providers to ensure that care packages a events, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resulting ork standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "front do	from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformation for. When appropriate, directing users to approved independence. g, the local social care market, and other socio-
<ul> <li>Programme.</li> <li>The preventative policy framework community solutions, which can plancial and resource plance conomic trends. Development a Plan to DHSC.</li> <li>Involvement in the Northwest reg</li> </ul>	ercise to be undertaken with all care providers to ensure that care packages a events, keeping providers / people informed of preventative change resulting ork standardises the approach to prevention across adult social care "front do provide non-traditional benefits to those individuals and help maintain their in anning by ASC services, undertaken capacity and demand modelling, funding	from the People Live Well, for Longer Transformation for. When appropriate, directing users to approved independence. g, the local social care market, and other socio- n of the Cheshire East Market Availability and Capacit

## OFFICIAL

- Regular ASC reporting to DLT, CLT and Adult and Health Committee on performance, expenditure/budget and demand. On-going management of services, based on performance, expenditure/budget and demand management information. Trend analysis used to help predict future demand.
- Engagement with service users, collaboration with Healthwatch and other independent organisations to help drive service improvements and cost savings.
- Business continuity assessments and resiliency preparation, both internally and with key partners.
- The Council is working with skills for care to see what support it can give to the market in terms of recruitment and retention and build knowledge. Providers have access to recruiting staff from abroad if there isn't a sufficient supply available locally. Development of a Workforce Strategy for the external care workforce.
- Investigation and investment into new health and care technologies. Use of new technologies to be driven by considering performance, capacity, and value for more against more traditional methods.
- Quality Performance Authorisation Board meets three times a week to ensure that the Council is obtaining value for care money for commissioned placements and making best use of all commissioned block booked assets across the Borough.
- A Transfer of Care Hub has been set up in both hospitals with key staff from CEC and Hospital Trusts co-located to improve joint working and ease pressures on hospital discharge and ensure people are supported through the correct pathway at the point of discharge.
- Commissioners undertake Market Engagement activities with Care at home Providers to Coproduce new models of care. Joint working with 'Skills for Care' to encourage individuals to take up employment within the care sector. 'Hidden Carer's' initiative launched through Carer's Hub to help identify and support carers not known to the system.
- Low level support for the British Red Cross who respond to crisis situations in the community.
- A tool called Care Cubed has been commissioned to assess the actual cost of delivering care for individual care providers and benchmark against market prices.
- On-going engagement and development with the community voluntary faith sector to enhance our offer and support to people.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Care at home re-commissioning (SRO and Work Programme in place)	September 2025
Accommodation with care re-commission (SRO and Work Programme in place)	September 2025

**Comments this quarter:** There is currently only 1 care home that has been rated as inadequate by CQC across the Borough; Riseley House. Due to the delay in timey inspections being carried out by CQC a risk-based review has been completed which has supported incremental admissions into the home following the provider successfully being onboarded to the Council's Accommodation with Care Framework.

Priesty Fields and St Catherine's Care Homes currently have placement embargos in place at this time due to the emerging quality risks that have been referred into the Local Authority Quality Assurance and Safeguarding Team. These homes are continued to be comprehensively monitored and reviewed through our Contract and Quality governance oversight meeting, so system partners are aware of any risks and issues. The quality assurance officers will continue to provide enhanced oversight of these homes and report any concerns to safeguarding. Emmie Dixon Care Home have indicated they are encountering financial challenges due to 3 vacant voids within the home. This situation is currently presenting financial sustainability challenges within the organisation. The provider is looking to safely rationalise expenditure across the home and commissioners have enhanced their oversight of the provider. Ongoing work continues with operational staff to try and fill the voids with compatible people. The current care at home waiting list remains at 7 people which equates to 90 hours per week. An operating process is in place that ensures operational colleagues continue to RAG rate individuals placed on the waiting list for care at home.

The increases in April 2025 to the National Minimum Wage alongside an increase in the Employers National Rate to 15%, and a reduction in the Employers National Insurance threshold will present an impact to the externally commissioned care providers. A fee's uplift options appraisal paper is comprehensively being developed to ensure we fully consider the implications relating to provider fees, market sustainability and operational risk management.

**Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level:** N/A (Net score is equal to target). To a certain extent the risk is outside the Council's control as there is a reduced pool of people who wish to work in Social Care.

Risk Name: Complexity and Demand for Children's Services			Services			ctor of Children's
Risk Ref: SR03	Date updated: 29th Janu	uary 2025	<b>Risk Manager:</b> Children's Services Dir Leadership Team			ervices Directoral
Risk Description: That Cheshire East's local social, economic and demographic factors, including the impact of the pandemic, lead to an increase in the level and/or complexity of need and demand for children's services, which the council cannot meet effectively. This risk would mean that we would not achieve the council's desired outcomes for children and young people as set out in the council's Corporate Plan. The service received growth through the MTFS to help address the pressures but the challenge to deliver to budget and achieve the required savings remains present. Significant action is still required to deliver savings to live within the budget as all indications are that demand, complexity and cost will continue to increase.		4 3 2 Tikelihood 1	1	2 Impact	Gross Net Target 3 4	
Interdependencies (risks): Increased Demand for Adult Services, Insuffic Processes, Organisation Capacity and Demand, Failure to Achieve the MT			Loud		ilies Comm	e: Children and hittee
<ul> <li>Key Mitigating Controls:</li> <li>Growth to address budget pressures within services was agreed i</li> <li>Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including services is fully funded.</li> <li>Extensive activity is taking place to manage and reduce costs.</li> <li>We are closely monitoring the demand to services and the reasor</li> <li>We have a range of support available to families through early he commissioned services. These services support families and help</li> <li>We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing council, health and intervention, and improving partnership working.</li> <li>Our approach is to ensure only the right children come into care; for services. We will continue look to identify options for children to live.</li> <li>We are continuing to support children and young people who are</li> <li>We are actively working through the safeguarding children's partner</li> </ul>	g £4.6m to support placeme that are driving demand s p and prevention services, prevent needs from escala community services togethe this is right for children and we within their family networ most vulnerable through the	ent costs, and £2.7m t so that we can be resp including council, par ating and requiring hig er – improving access young people and wil ks where this is the b e Household Support	to ensure the ponsive and ther, volunt wher level in and reach I also reduce est outcom Fund and t	te staffing d mitigate cary, com terventio of servic ce demar e for ther he Holida	g establishr e any risks t munity, fait n. es, support nd to Childr n.	ment for children to service deliver th sector and ting earlier en's Social Care
<ul> <li>Growth to address budget pressures within services was agreed i</li> <li>Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including services is fully funded.</li> <li>Extensive activity is taking place to manage and reduce costs.</li> <li>We are closely monitoring the demand to services and the reasor</li> <li>We have a range of support available to families through early he commissioned services. These services support families and help</li> <li>We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing council, health and intervention, and improving partnership working.</li> <li>Our approach is to ensure only the right children come into care; the services. We will continue look to identify options for children to live. We are continuing to support children and young people who are</li> <li>We are actively working through the safeguarding children's partnership.</li> </ul>	g £4.6m to support placeme as that are driving demand s p and prevention services, prevent needs from escala community services togethe this is right for children and ve within their family networ most vulnerable through the ership to strengthen our ap	ent costs, and £2.7m t so that we can be resp including council, par ating and requiring hig er – improving access young people and wil rks where this is the b e Household Support proach to early help a <b>Target Date for Com</b>	to ensure the ponsive and ther, volunt wher level in and reach I also reduce est outcom Fund and t	te staffing d mitigate cary, com terventio of servic ce demar e for ther he Holida	g establishr e any risks t munity, fait n. es, support nd to Childr n.	ment for children to service deliver th sector and ting earlier en's Social Care
<ul> <li>Growth to address budget pressures within services was agreed i</li> <li>Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including services is fully funded.</li> <li>Extensive activity is taking place to manage and reduce costs.</li> <li>We are closely monitoring the demand to services and the reasor</li> <li>We have a range of support available to families through early he commissioned services. These services support families and help</li> <li>We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing council, health and intervention, and improving partnership working.</li> <li>Our approach is to ensure only the right children come into care; the services. We will continue look to identify options for children to live. We are continuing to support children and young people who are</li> <li>We are actively working through the safeguarding children's partnership.</li> </ul>	g £4.6m to support placeme as that are driving demand s p and prevention services, prevent needs from escala community services togethe this is right for children and ve within their family networ most vulnerable through the ership to strengthen our ap	ent costs, and £2.7m t so that we can be resp including council, par ating and requiring hig er – improving access young people and wil ks where this is the b e Household Support proach to early help a	to ensure the ponsive and ther, volunt wher level in and reach I also reduce est outcom Fund and t	te staffing d mitigate cary, com terventio of servic ce demar e for ther he Holida	g establishr e any risks t munity, fait n. es, support nd to Childr n.	ment for children to service deliver th sector and ting earlier en's Social Care
<ul> <li>Growth to address budget pressures within services was agreed i</li> <li>Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including services is fully funded.</li> <li>Extensive activity is taking place to manage and reduce costs.</li> <li>We are closely monitoring the demand to services and the reasor</li> <li>We have a range of support available to families through early he commissioned services. These services support families and help</li> <li>We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing council, health and intervention, and improving partnership working.</li> <li>Our approach is to ensure only the right children come into care; the services. We will continue look to identify options for children to live. We are continuing to support children and young people who are</li> <li>We are actively working through the safeguarding children's partnership to support a base build of children's services to ensure we have the right services (Children's social care senior leadership team)</li> </ul>	g £4.6m to support placements that are driving demand so pand prevention services, prevent needs from escala community services togethe this is right for children and we within their family networ most vulnerable through the ership to strengthen our ap	ent costs, and £2.7m t so that we can be resp including council, par ating and requiring hig er – improving access young people and wil rks where this is the b e Household Support proach to early help a <b>Target Date for Com</b>	to ensure the ponsive and ther, volunt wher level in and reach I also reduce est outcom Fund and t	te staffing d mitigate cary, com terventio of servic ce demar e for ther he Holida	g establishr e any risks t munity, fait n. es, support nd to Childr n.	ment for children to service deliver th sector and ting earlier en's Social Care
<ul> <li>Growth to address budget pressures within services was agreed i</li> <li>Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including services is fully funded.</li> <li>Extensive activity is taking place to manage and reduce costs.</li> <li>We are closely monitoring the demand to services and the reasor</li> <li>We have a range of support available to families through early he commissioned services. These services support families and help</li> <li>We have implemented Family Hubs, bringing council, health and intervention, and improving partnership working.</li> <li>Our approach is to ensure only the right children come into care; the services. We will continue look to identify options for children to live. We are continuing to support children and young people who are</li> <li>We are actively working through the safeguarding children's partnership.</li> </ul> Deliver a base build of children's services to ensure we have the right services (Children's social care senior leadership team)	g £4.6m to support placements that are driving demand so and prevention services, prevent needs from escala community services together this is right for children and ve within their family networ most vulnerable through the ership to strengthen our application to the children's community for children and services to meet children's community for the children's community for the children's community for the children's community for the children's social care community for the children's community for the children's social care community for the children's social care community for the children's community for the children's social care community for the children's community for the children's social care community for the children's community for the children's social care community for the children's community for t	ent costs, and £2.7m t so that we can be resp including council, par ating and requiring hig er – improving access young people and wil rks where this is the b e Household Support proach to early help a <b>Target Date for Com</b> July 2027	to ensure the ponsive and ther, volunt her level in and reach I also reduce est outcom Fund and t and prevent pletion:	d mitigate ary, com terventio of servic ce demar e for ther he Holida ion.	g establishr any risks f munity, fait n. es, support nd to Childr n. ay Activity F	ment for children to service deliver th sector and ting earlier en's Social Care

# Appendix A – Q3 2024/25 Strategic Risk Register Detail

Develop and launch a new early help strategy across the partnership (Children's Safeguarding	June 2025			
Partnership)				
Comments this quarter: No change to the net rating, it remains 12, a material risk, equal to the tar	get score.			
Further growth is proposed within the MTFS for 2025/26, including £4.6m to support placement cos				
is fully funded. A base build of children's services will take place in April 2025 to ensure there are the	e right services in place to meet children's needs.			
Internal and partnership assessment activity was undertaken to understand entries to care which ha				
has been presented to the Safeguarding Partnership and work is taking place to review collectively and identify actions required. In the interim a new court team has been				
devised to reduce the competing demands on social workers and improve timeliness and quality of interventions for when children do come into our care. A new				
partnership early help strategy has been developed and is currently open for consultation.				
Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2026				

Risk Name: Dedicated School Grant Deficit		Risk Ov Services		r: Exec	cutive Di	irector o	f Children
Risk Ref: SR04	Date updated: 30th January 2025	Risk Ma	anag				S
The final outturn position for the financial year 2023 E79.5M compared to the forecast deficit of £89.6M deficit identified through the Safety Valve programm with an education, health and care plan greatly exc ssue and local authorities are required to hold a ne position is unaffordable and unsustainable. The arr Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC). Education and the DLUHC.	cated schools grant (DSG) continues to rise and/or is not recoverable. 3-24 outlines a reduced deficit position of the DSG high needs budget of resulting in a reduction of £10.1m. The mitigated cumulative forecast rese me is £285m. This is in part as a result of the growth in the number of pupil ceeding the funding and insufficient local placement options. This is a natio egative reserve for this purpose which is in place until March 2026. This rangements beyond March 2026 are not confirmed by the Department for . This risk continues to be a feature of ongoing liaison with the Department serve deficit is not recoverable. Significant action is required to deliver savin demand, complexity and cost will continue to increase.	al <sup>boo</sup> nal <sup>I</sup>	4 3 2 1	1	2	3 pact	Gross Net Target
Drganisation Capacity and Demand, Failure to Ach Key Mitigating Controls:	t			Famil	ies Corr	nmittee	ldren and
<ul> <li>more help from the government to balance authorities' high needs systems and ensure control. We submitted detailed plans to the with Cheshire East as Cheshire East's Sareasonably afford in comparison to other a to work with us over the coming months, a</li> <li>The DSG management plan is in place to that have been put in place. A revised DS committee also received an update on the plan.</li> </ul>	nvitation from the Department for Education to start negotiations to join their the our budget. The aim of the Safety Valve programme is to agree a package the this is delivered in a sustainable way, for the benefit of children and your the government in January 2024. In March 2024 Ministers advised that they afety Valve submission included an ask of the Department which is significant agreements. Ministers recognise that the DSG deficit as forecasted poses alongside colleagues from DLUHC, to seek an appropriate solution. In monitor the impact of demand to SEND services on financial pressures and SG management plan for 2024/25 to 2030/31 was approved by the Childre to Safety Valve submission. The Children and Families Committee is received	e of reform g people, v are currently ntly above t substantia d monitor th n and Famil ng monthly	to ir whils y un the le al risl ne de lies upda	nprove at bring able to evel wh k to Ch elivery Comm ates or	e the per ing DSC o enter ir hich the neshire E and imp ittee on n the DS	formand deficits nto an ag Departr East, and bact of m 29 April SG mana	ce of local s under greement nent coul d propose nitigations 2024. Th agement
<ul> <li>Families Committee in September 2023. The been refreshed to include priority actions refreshed to include priority actions.</li> <li>There is significant capital investment in location.</li> </ul>	ncy statement for 2023/24 to 2025/26, and the SEND strategy, which were The SEN sufficiency statement sets out the additional provision needed over relating to the mitigations with the revisited DSG management plan 2024-2 ocal SEND provision to meets children's needs more locally but also reduc programme we were invited to submit a Capital bid. The bid was successfu	r the next t 031. dependen	hree cy o	years n high∙	. The SE	END stra depende	ategy has ent school
The capital grant will allow us to create the	e following providing in total 140 additional places						

1 x 14 place new SEN unit

- Generic funding to support the refurbishment/adaption of space within mainstream settings which could support the current demand by way of resource provisions and/or SEN units.
- We are embedding a graduated approach and inclusion across all schools and settings and strengthening SEN support.
- We participated in the DfE's delivering better value (DBV) programme to support the council to achieve a more sustainable financial position in relation to SEND. This identified two priority areas of cultural change that will make the biggest difference on managing demand inclusive practice and transition. Cheshire East has been awarded £1 million to support the delivery of this transformational change. These areas have been incorporated within our SEND Strategy.
- A fundamental review and realignment exercise for children's services will be carried out to future-proof services to deliver differently for less as part of our integrated children's services 4-year strategy.
- We have a range of support available to families through early help and prevention services, including council, partner, voluntary, community, faith sector and commissioned services. These services support families and help prevent needs from escalating and requiring higher level intervention.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
A fundamental review and realignment exercise for children's services will be carried out to future-	March 2027
proof services to deliver differently for less as part of our integrated children's services 4-year	
strategy. (Progress will be monitored through the MTFS arrangements)	
Implement the DSG management plan (Quarterly by the DfE if accepted onto the programme. If not	March 2031
accepted the plan will be monitored internally through a CEX lead board with member	
involvement.)	
Continue to increase SEND provision in Cheshire East (Reviewed quarterly)	March 2031
Embed the graduated approach and inclusion across all schools and settings and strengthen SEN	September 2025
support (Reviewed quarterly)	

**Comments this quarter:** The latest forecast for 2024-25 (based on actual expenditure April to November 24) shows a forecast deficit reserve position of £115.8 million, representing a total of £25.1 million reduced deficit compared with the original DSG management plan forecast (submitted to the DfE via the Safety Valve programme) and a £4.3 million reduced deficit compared with the reprofiled DSG mgmt. plan. This lower deficit figure mainly relates to expenditure for placements in non-maintained special schools and independent special schools. The DSG management plan includes an increase in costs of 3.4% on all placements, therefore increasing the average cost. The actual expenditure suggests that not all placement costs have increased, therefore reducing the average cost of placements compared to the DSG management plan. The total number of EHCPs recorded align with the DSG management plan target figure for January 2025 representing a reduced growth of EHCPs of 8% (previous year the growth was 13.6%). Needs assessment requests have reduced compared with calendar year 2023 by approx. 11%, however in the first term of the academic year 2024/25 there has been an increase of 23% compared with the first term of academic year 2023/24.

We have seen an increase in needs assessment requests in relation to children and young people experiencing concerns around mental health and anxiety; within the applications we have seen an increased number with concerns in regards of barriers to accessing school full time and in some instance unable to attend school at all. Many of these children and young people do not yet have any diagnosis but are on ASC or ADHD pathways following referrals being undertaken. As a response to this we are currently looking to work with colleagues across the partnership including health to review the needs and themes to look at how we can support our children, families and schools/settings moving forwards.

To support parents/carers the Transition Team are now developing a dedicated webpage for each key phase of transition providing tailored guidance and resources. In collaboration with parent carer forum and other parent support groups we have identified common themes and concerns around transition. Additionally, we are attending parent support groups and encouraging coffee mornings within our settings to ensure parents feel informed and supported.

The DfE have announced the DSG allocations for 2025/26. All local authorities will receive at least 7% additional income in their allocation of High Needs DSG. This is 4% higher than anticipated in the DSG management plan, representing approx. £2.3m additional funding.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2025. We aim to see an incremental reduction in the risk as we implement our plan. By the end of March 2025, we aim for the risk to be reduced to a score of 12, then to see this continue to reduce over the following years with aim to be at an acceptable level of risk by 2026.

Risk Name: Failure to Protect Vulnerab	ble Children	Risk Own Services	er: Exec	utive Dire	ector of (	Children
Risk Ref: SR05	Date updated: 27 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	Risk Mana Children's				
ervices are unable to fulfil their response	art of the local safeguarding children's partnership, Cheshire East Council's children's sibilities relating to the protection of vulnerable children at risk of criminal exploitation shire East seeks to be an effective and collaborative partner in the partnership. Ofstee	4				Gross
re responsible for conducting inspections into the quality of children's social care provided by Cheshire East and as the loc uthority responsible Cheshire East is continually looking to meet those expectations in an ever-changing and challenging					Net	
environment.		2 Likel			Target	
		1				
			1	2	3	4
				Im	pact	
nterdependencies (risks): Increased I	Demand for Adult Services, Complexity and Demand for Children's Services	Lead Serv Families C			Children	and
<ul> <li>has named Education as the 4 outlines the responsibility of the</li> <li>A Pan Cheshire Strategic Alliar partnership progress against the</li> </ul>	ght of the Multi Agency Safeguarding Arrangements. The 3 Statutory Partners are; H th Statutory Partner. The Statutory Partners form the Cheshire East Safeguarding Ch e Statutory Partners to involve other agencies. nce group is in place which consists of the Chief Executive of the council, Chief Cons ne improvement plan. They are named in the Multi Agency Quality Assurance (MASA and Authority and the partnership arrangements	ildren's Partr table and Ch	nership.	Working	Togethe	r 2023

- Ofsted regularly inspect the Local Authority and the partnership arrangements.
- The partnership ensures awareness within all agencies by proving regular training focused on exploitation. The training facilitates communication, increased knowledge and understanding and working together.
- We have an Exploitation Strategy, practice guidance, and training for frontline practitioners. This all ensures there is a clear partnership approach to supporting children and young people at risk of exploitation. The strategy also needs to be in line with the Pan Cheshire All Age Exploitation Strategy.
- There is a shared understanding of the children and young people who are at risk of exploitation across the partnership.
- There are regular multi agency audit of practice are completed for children at risk of exploitation to evaluate the impact of changes on quality of practice.
- The Children and Families Committee have oversight through the annual report and any inspection reports.
- The Contextual Safeguarding Strategic group reports to the Multi Agency Quality Assurance Group which then reports the CESCP board.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion
CS SOC performance report to be presented to the CESCP board (CESCP Board)	Q1 2025-26
Independent Scrutiny for Contextual Safeguarding (CESCP Board)	Q1 2025-26
Review the Contextual Safeguarding Strategy (Contextual Safeguarding Strategic Group and CESCP Board)	Q1 2025-26

**Comments this quarter:** Updated existing controls and actions to reflect the current oversight and structure in line with the updated Multi Agency Safeguarding Arrangements. Amended the timescales for reducing the net score in line with the target. The partnership are implementing the updated governance structure and arrangements as approved

within the MASA. This includes an updated Quality Assurance framework and a proposed timetable for independent scrutiny. An independent scrutineer has been commissioned to consider contextual safeguarding, an audit to determine whether we are meeting our objectives, the structure within the service, and provide support and recommendations on future improvements.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: December 2025

Risk Name: Failure to Achieve the MTFS		Risk O Resour				irector c	of
Risk Ref: SR06	Date updated: 29 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	S151 C			ector o	f Financ	ce (Depu
	nges and manage net spending within the MTFS agreed by Council within the ility to manage its budget effectively in the current and future years.	•	4				Gross Net
	uce the Councils reserves and may endanger its medium-term financial es it can provide to its residents, due to having to issue a Section 114 Notice. result in the Council having to repay those monies.	Likelihood	3			Target	
Drivers of likelihood: Key drivers of this risk are a lack of effective strategic and/ or operational management, and a lack of ability and/ or authority to implement change, along with global and national events adversely affecting levels of inflation and prices.			1				
				1	2 Imj	3 pact	4
Interdependencies (risks): all		Lead S Commi		e Con	nmittee	e: Corpo	orate Poli
<ul> <li>best available information and including pro</li> <li>Use of quality financial systems, with appro</li> <li>Balanced budget to put into effect the Cour of general reserves</li> <li>MTFS sets out how the Council will deliver</li> </ul>	eparation by the Finance Team, in liaison with senior operational managers, ident assumptions based on professional judgement and external advice. priate training and subsequent audit of their effectiveness to provide manage icil's service plans for the forthcoming year, matched by available funding and the Council's Corporate Plan clearance process which ensures provision of relevant information on financi	ment an d includir	d con ng a ri	itrol of isk ass	the Co sessme	uncil's fi nt of the	nances e adequa
<ul><li>makers.</li><li>Clear and effective communication of chan</li><li>Sources of specialist advice and guidance</li></ul>	ges or updates to Finance and Contract Procedure Rules with the Constitutio	n					
form of forward-looking forecast outturn rep	mance against approved budget, is undertaken throughout the financial year orts upports in-year monitoring and future year planning	and pre	sente	d to se	ervice c	ommitte	es, in th
<ul> <li>Risk-based approach to the use of reserve restore them to risk-assessed levels during</li> </ul>	s, identifying appropriate reserve levels and ensuring that reserves are not de the MTFS period.	pleted w	rithou	t first id	dentifyi	ng a stra	ategy to
<ul> <li>use of any service or non-specific</li> </ul>	ncial year, a number of actions will be explored including:- underspend to offset pressures elsewhere within the budget ng compliance with any funding conditions						

- use of reserves
- use of general balances

- Treasury Management Strategy to manage the Council's cash flows, including an investment strategy focused on the security of principal sums and a borrowing strategy to manage interest payable and other charges
- A Capital Strategy that prioritises capital investment programmes, identifies the financial impact of investment in schemes and limits the amount of unsupported borrowing to be taken
- Engagement with government departments related to financial models and consultation
- Education and training for officers and Members, including financial management in local government, the CIPFA FM Code, and Finance and Contract Procedure rules
- Reporting of status and action plan on CIPFA FM Code
- Budget management regime implemented early in 2023/24 to track activities relating to delivery of approved budget changes
- Spending management regime implemented in October 2023, to control and reduce spending on staffing and supplies and services; review fees and charges to customers; and approaches to charging costs to capital projects and using capital receipts
- Strategic Financial Management Board, with a number of groups Procurement; Strategic Assets; Workforce Oversight; Financial Management each with terms of
  reference and various assigned tasks; and with a further link for all groups/ activities to the Transformation Programme. any Directorate forecasting spend >5% over
  approved budget is subject to focussed budget management, reporting progress to SFMB weekly

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Ongoing reporting of spending management effectiveness and latest forecasts to SFMB/CLT (Weekly reporting and review by SFMB/CLT)	March 2025
Directly or via professional or political networks, liaise with Government departments on the severity of the many financial issues (Reporting to CLT, and to Members in the MTFS update)	February 2025
Preparation and approval of the 2025/26 annual budget (Formal Financial Reviews to Committees; with progress on all change proposals reported to each committee cycle)	February 2025

**Comments this quarter:** No change to the risk ratings. The Council's Third Financial Review (FR3) shows a forecast £18.3m overspend for the current financial year. This was reported to Finance Sub-Committee on 9 January and is also to be reported to service committees through the January cycle of meetings.

Whilst an improvement on the Second Financial Review by £1.8m, the forecast overspend remains a significant financial challenge for the Council.

Finance Sub-Committee approved the utilisation of the £17.6m conditional Exceptional Financial Support to balance the forecast overspend at the year-end, in order to protect and minimise the use of reserves (and also to plan for its financing in the next update of the MTFS).

With use of reserves to cover the residual gap, and also funding in-year Transformation spending, forecast revenue reserves at 31 March 2025 were reported as £9.2m.

The Transformation Programme is underway and its outcomes have helped to shape the development of the Council's updated MTFS for 2025-29, offering the prospect of significant improvements against the four-year funding gap previously identified in the MTFS approved in February 2024. However, the Council continues to recognise significant pressures, particularly in social care, and including reflecting the potential effects on our expenditure requirements from Government changes in employers' National Insurance, and the National Living Wage.

The shortfall in 2025/26 identified in the MTFS report to the 9 January 2025 Finance Sub-Committee was estimated at £25.3m. At Corporate Policy Committee on 6 February 2025, Members will consider approaches to balancing the budget and improving financial sustainability, including options for further Exceptional Financial Support, prior to making recommendations to Budget Council on 26 February 2025.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: March 2025

Risk Name: Leadership Capacity			Risk O	wnei	r: Chief	Executiv	/e	
Risk Ref: SR07	Date updated: 25th February 2025		Risk Manager: Interim Director and Customer Experience				ctor of	People
<b>Risk Description:</b> There are a number of vacancies and tempor team are not functioning. These limit its capacity and prevents th right capacity across the leadership team, the organisation is una	e team from operating as effectively as possible. W			4				Gross
Potential impacts: The impact may be a failure to achieve prioritie challenges as well as the Council's requirement to deliver a large priorities are delivered at higher cost than could otherwise be ach organisation, overall amount of effectiveness is reduced.	e-scale transformation programme. It could also be t	he case that	Likelihood	3		1	Target	Net
Drivers of likelihood: Reputational risk from Section 114 notice an retain individuals for senior management positions. Failure to cor implement management development for the leadership team. Fail	nplete DMA exercise and implement a revised struc	ture, Failure		1	1	2	3	4
					•	Impac	0	•
Interdependencies (risks): All other strategic and operational ris	sks.		Lead S		ce Com	mittee:	Corpo	rate Polio
<ul> <li>Corporate Plan and Annual Service Business Plans.</li> <li>Support from Governance functions.</li> <li>Leadership team recruitment processes, including skills</li> <li>Leadership team performance management processes.</li> <li>Organisation structure and internal reporting.</li> </ul>								
People Strategy Actions (Monitoring):		Target Da	ato for i	<u>^</u>	alotion			
Undertake Decision Making Accountability (DMA) exercise from lorganisational structure and implement new structure (Introduction Leadership development programme for CLT and WLC (Evaluation 2014).	on of new populated structure)	01/11/202 31/07/202	24	<u></u>	Sietion.			
delivered) Interim arrangements for key posts (Interim recruitment exercise	to a number of key posts)	31/05/202	24					
<b>Comments this quarter:</b> Interim Director of People and Custom posts are filled and are kept under review, the only post not filled work involved the recruitment is being phased. The first tranche Monitoring Officer and Assistant Chief Executive. These are post is envisaged that a permanent complement of Chief and Deputy <b>Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level:</b> Novemb	er Experience joined CEC in mid December in addit are Head Of roles. Recrutiment campaign commen will focus on recruiting to the ED Resources & S151 s that require Full Council Approval. Recruitment of Chief Officers will be in place in later Summer 2025.	ion to Interim ced with our   , Director of I additional po	Head c partner, Public H	Starf ealth	ish. Beo , Gover	cause of nance, C	the so Compli	cale of the

	hange		Risk C	Owne	er: Ass	istant Ci	nief Exe	culive
Risk Ref: SR08	Date updated: 18th February 2025		Risk N	lana	iger: D	irector o	f Transf	ormatior
<b>isk ref.</b> Skos <b>isk Description:</b> The risk that the council is unable to achieve organisational change due to a lack of resources and capacity to be on transformation as people focus on the delivery of business as usual. Recently received advice from government ormissioners advised that organisational change capacity is a key ingredient to support the council in delivering transformation to achieve medium to long term change that will support achievement of savings and also, in the event of a section 114 notice eing issued, organisational change capacity is also essential to deliver necessary actions arising from interventions. otential impacts: The council is required to deliver transformation activity at pace in order to balance the budget and avoid ection 114. If a section 114 notice is issued and commissioners intervene, the council bears their costs. These costs are stimated at up to £200K per commissioner and there are usually 2-6 commissioners, for a period of up to 5 years. Therefore it is isore prudent to ensure that there is capacity for organisational transformation to avoid more significant costs and reputational amage. rivers of likelihood: A focus on delivery of frontline and statutory services and a de-prioritisation of corporate, enabling functions lack of clear governance and oversight of delivery of transformation. No clearly identified medium- and long-term transformation roogramme. Failure to recruit and retain individuals for senior management positions. Failure to identify and implement required hange initiatives effectively and in a timely fashion. Failure to oversee efficient and effective operations, including dealing with por performing individuals and to communicate and motivate the wider workforce.		ent sformation 4 notice avoid are erefore it is tational g functions. nsformation	Likelihood	4 3 2 1	1	2 Im	3 pact	Gross Net Target
nterdependencies (risks): Recruitment and R			Lead S Comm			mmittee	: Corpo	orate Pol
<ul> <li>A weekly Strategic Finance Managem targets</li> <li>A Bright Ideas scheme is in operation</li> </ul>	f change proposals has been collated and is being discussed with memb ent Board (SFMB) meeting is in place with Senior Level Membership to to enable the workforce to contribute their ideas for transformation ulted on to clarify the vision and priorities for Cheshire East	review the	activitie	es re	quired	to meet	budget	savings
<ul> <li>Appointment of a Delivery Partner to p</li> <li>Formation and weekly meetings of the</li> </ul>	provide additional capacity and to produce the council transformation plate transformation board	IN						
<ul> <li>Appointment of a Delivery Partner to p</li> <li>Formation and weekly meetings of the</li> <li>Establishment of a core project team to</li> </ul>	provide additional capacity and to produce the council transformation pla		ate for	Con	npletio	n:		
<ul> <li>Appointment of a Delivery Partner to p</li> <li>Formation and weekly meetings of the</li> <li>Establishment of a core project team to</li> <li>Actions (Monitoring):</li> </ul>	provide additional capacity and to produce the council transformation plate transformation board to support the next phase of transformation	in Target Da January 2		Con	npletio	n:		
Appointment of a Delivery Partner to p     Formation and weekly meetings of the     Establishment of a core project team to     Actions (Monitoring):     Strategic CLT discussion planned on structure i Medium to Long Term Transformation Plan to b	provide additional capacity and to produce the council transformation plate transformation board to support the next phase of transformation	Target Da January 2 ) January 2	2025 2025					

Risk Name: Recruitment and Retention			-	-		cutive D on 151 C	irector o Officer	f
Risk Ref: SR09	Date updated: 25th February 2025					terim Di perience	rector of	People
Corporate Plan, LGA Corporate Peer Challenge	of skilled and motivated staff is required to allow the organisation to deliver e Action Plan, Children's Improvement Plan and its transformation progran res operational changes which allow the council to adapt and improve.			4				Gross
Impact of the risk occurring: High staff turnover and, or skills shortages, insufficient capacity within services. Failure to achieve annual budget and deliver the council's transformation and improvement programme and a detrimental impact upon the physical, emotional, and mental wellbeing of staff.		Likelihood	3			Net Target		
		Likel	2					
	ernal factors led to increasing and changing demands on services. Increas			1				
	ence and wellbeing of our workforce and therefore the capacity to respond				1	2	3	4
impact.	Il as current financial challenges. WorkplaCE programme and the DMA rev	lew also				Im	pact	
Interdependencies (risks): Business Continuit Services	ity, Increased demand for Adults Services, Complexity and Demand for Ch	ildren's	<b>Lead</b> Comn			mmittee	e: Corpo	ate Polic
	Council's Workforce Strategy 2021-2025. This is a 4-year strategy with or -2028. Service Workforce Plans are also undertaken on an annual basis as g on a service-by-service level							

- Benchmarking exercises and workforce metrics are used to identify potential issues and service workforce plans developed as above to mitigate. Work on the refinement of a workforce assessment for the Council has been completed and a monthly workforce dashboard is available to identify potential issues. The workforce assessment is then updated twice a year, to ensure services have regular focused workforce data available.
- Focused apprenticeship levy funding, specific succession planning and talent management initiatives are used to support high priority areas. This is supported by the introduction of a manager and director dashboard on Learning Lounge that will help the identification of training and skills gaps.
- Recruitment and retention programme has delivered attendance at a programme of local and regional recruitment fairs, an end-to-end review of the recruitment
  process, improved recruitment advertising, an employee offer brochure, a review, and the planned implementation of additional employee benefits, a social work
  academy in Children's Services and the development of additional career pathways. The introduction of employee profile videos on social media and on Cheshire
  East Council's website to enhance the Council's profile have also been introduced. Further work will be undertaken to streamline the recruitment process to ensure
  improved efficiency and a better user experience.
- Review of the provision of agency staff, including an audit of spending, to reduce reliance and transition to a more stable permanent workforce base with reduced costs has also been undertaken. The Council has implemented the provisions of the Government proposal on capping the pay rates for agency social workers and has also engaged with the proposals for capping agency pay rates for Children's Social Workers as part of the Greater Manchester Pledge.
- Analysis of exit interview and questionnaire data with the relevant Executive Director to support the retention of staff.
- Wellbeing and engagement support, including delivery of EAP services, the introduction of 'In the Know' sessions for all staff, a revitalised recognition scheme, monthly organisation wide wellbeing updates for all staff, and the promotion of the government funded initiative Able Futures.
- Senior manager support in the redesign and restructure of services to meet MTFS targets, including MARS to minimise the impact on the workforce. A workforce planning toolkit is now in place to support services in identifying skills gaps and identify actions to address any identified gaps.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Recruitment to new senior management structure	April 2025
Introduction of a range of additional employee benefits, enhancing the existing offer (Monthly review by HRMT/Ongoing briefing to CLT on progress and implementation).	On-going
Use Pulse Survey and Exit Interview data results to gauge employee satisfaction (Reviewed by HRMT and shared with DMTs).	On-going
Completion of a transformation skills audit (Reviewed by HRMT monthly)	On-going
Comments this quarter: No change to the risk this quarter. Expectations are that the risk will be materially revised in Q of People and Customer Experience. Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A	4 based on the views of the incoming Interim Director

<b>CISK Maine.</b> Failure to manaç	ge the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and National Policy Frameworks		<b>Risk Own</b> Monitoring			Compli	ance and
Risk Ref: SR10	Date updated: 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2025			ager: Go	overnanc	e, Com	pliance and
mplement them. The scope of government. The Corporate Plan guides th priority. Political changes may decisions can materially impa	hat the council cannot adequately understand and react to national policy changes of of the risk covers all central and local government decisions which relate to the opera- the council's decision-making, it informs what is considered a 'good' policy outcome a y result in stakeholders no longer being aligned with that current plan. Central govern to the council in many ways, while other risks might draw out specific changes and c together the total effect of political uncertainty for consideration.	ations of local nd areas of nment policy	4 3 Crikelihood 2 1				Gross Gross Net Target
				1	2	3	4
						pact	
nterdependencies (risks): o Agreed Governance Proce	Stakeholder Expectations and Communications, Failure to Achieve the MTFS, Failur sses		Lead Serv Committee		nmittee:	Corpor	ate Policy
<ul> <li>Engagement with por</li> <li>Engagement with gr</li> <li>Induction, on-going t</li> <li>Service Committees</li> <li>Corporate Plan and</li> <li>Preparation for elect</li> <li>Forward planning for</li> <li>The development and</li> </ul>	unding agreement processes, governance process for ad hoc grants olitical administration of CEBC oup leaders of CEBC parties training and committee briefings for CEBC members support and briefings for members and senior officers MTFS regular and ad hoc (post material changes) review process, including conting- tions and promoting engagement in democracy. r each committee's policy development and areas of political sensitivity ad delivery of the Corporate Plan ion & training programme	ency planning					
Actions (Monitoring):		ŀ	Target Da	te for C	ompletic	n	
			2024/25		ompicit		
Comments this quarter: Un progression of the Council's 1	briefing process to increase flexibility to draw out impact on residents more clearly		roducod f	ollowing	the intro		

		<b>Risk Ow</b> Ind Mon				overnand	e, Complia	
isk Ref: SR11	Date updated: 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	F		nage	er: Gov		e, Comp	liance and
<ul> <li>Risk Description: The council is a complex public sector organisation with a broad range of objectives, some of which it is egally obligated to deliver, its goals for the borough are identified within its Corporate Plan. Formal reporting and decision-naking within the council is, to a degree, prescribed by local authority regulation. The decision-making process at all levels, nust comply with regulatory requirements while also delivering those stated goals.</li> <li>Detailed consequences: Robust governance requires clear aims and policy objectives and identified and delivered. Sovernance processes should facilitate the lawful delivery of those objectives and prevent the misapplication of resources in achieving other goals. Ultimately this can result in a reduction of living standards and physical health and mental vellbeing of residents. Failure to provide a reasonable level of service to residents at an appropriate cost, or to follow legal lecision-making protocols, can result in increased regulatory scrutiny and reputational damage. Possible outcomes of which in ay be, public censure, financial penalties or direct central government intervention.</li> <li>Detailed causes: The volume and complexity of the council's services and objectives, coupled with finite resources and iffering stakeholder views, make the application of the Corporate Plan into 'good' decision-making, a challenge. Examples of governance failures are:         <ul> <li>Variations in interpretation and non-compliance with agreed process and internal controls.</li> <li>Deviation from core objectives as result of prioritising presenting issues.</li> <li>Failure to allocate limited resources in line with the requirements of agreed objectives.</li> <li>Inadequate internal controls across the organisation or vertically with a directorate.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		cision- levels, urces v legal of which nd	Likelihood	4 3 2 1	1	2	Net Target Janget	Gross 4
Capacity, Ability to Achieve C Colicy Frameworks Cey Mitigating Controls: Council's Constitution covers nsure legal compliance and	Failure to Achieve the MTFS, Stakeholder Expectation & Communication, Leadership Drganisation Change, Failure to Manage the Consequences of Policy Uncertainty and Na s decision making processes, including finance and contract procedure rules. The Consti I operational continuity. Following the adoption of the Committee system, mechanisms w Working Group). The number, nature and terms of references of the Committees are ass decision.	itution is	Committe reviewe	ee d an to ca	d amer	nded on Nember	an on-g 's feedb	ack and a
Services, and Audit and Risk	ilable document; guidance on the use of the decision-making processes is provided by e c. Constitutional updates are overseen (recommended and administrated) by the Goverr Council decisions. Administration of local, regional and national elections and monitoring	nance, C	omplian	ce a	nd Mor	itoring	Officer in	n response

beforehand. During which time, appropriate adjustments are made to the publishing or reporting of controversial issues or anything that seeks to influence voters. Reports to Committees are developed and reviewed by senior officers and enabler sign off, briefings are arranged with Committee Members to address any further knowledge requirements ahead of the relevant meeting. All decisions are formally recorded in meeting minutes and administrated in line with delegated authorities as per the constitution.

Schemes of delegation; local and financial are in place to provide clarity on responsibilities ensure separation of duties is in place where required and minimise the risk of inappropriate management override.

Assurance mechanisms on the organisations' compliance with it's decision-making processes are provided through the external audit (Statement of Accounts) and the work of the Internal Audit team. Internal Audit's assurance is achieved through the development and delivery of an annual plan and follow-up monitoring of agreed actions. There are other external inspections, such as Ofsted, which may examine elements of our decision-making processes through their work, although this is not usually the primary focus. The organisation publishes an Annual Governance Statement identifying significant governance issues which have occurred, any known areas which may cause issues if not managed effectively and updates on issues previously identified.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion
Review CEC's input into Joint Arrangements or Committees to ensure appropriate input for CEC is in place in the	TBC
governance and decision-making arrangements	
CE legal services to be made aware of papers and plans in advance	TBC
Review governance requirements of the Gemini project	TBC

Comments this quarter: A reduction of the net likelihood was considered but in recognition of the number and complexity of Joint Arrangements/Committees in place, it was determined that the net score remains unchanged. CEC has a number of on-going areas that require legal and democratic support to ensure that all decisions are made within the agreed processes.

The three main examples of this are the Gemini ICT project with Cheshire West & Chester, insourcing our wholly-owned companies, Orbitas and ANSA back in house and the devolution programme. CEC's legal function is required to ensure that all decision-making is lawful and in line with the constitution. To do this, it must be sighted on prospective decisions in advance by the WLC. Partner's governance arrangements may also differ from our own, adding additional complexity to decision making and the achievement of objectives in required timescales.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A

isk Name: Stakeholder Expectations and Communication		Risk Owner: Assistant Chief Executive						
Risk Ref: SR12	Date updated: 20 <sup>th</sup> January 2024	Risk Manager: Head of Engagement & Communication			cations			
ts communication and enga council's actions, nor approp evel of service to its resider	hat the council does not understand the expectations of its stakeholders and that gement with those stakeholders does not result in their understanding of the riate involvement and influence. The council has an obligation to provide as high a ts as its funding will allow. This requires not only considering both the short and tations of all of its stakeholders.		4			Net	Gross	
Potential impacts: A lack of understanding and poor communication and/or failure to effectively engage with			3			Target		
performance, increased con ncreased staff turnover and	age to the council's reputation, if this is severe enough it may result in poor plaints, regulatory inspection, challenge from central government, low morale, make the borough a less desirable place to live and work in. Consultation fatigue ce, reduced engagement and a lack of clarity over the changes being proposed.	Likelihood	2					
Potential drivers: To a certai	n degree the council cannot fully control the views that its stakeholders form. At		1					
mes it will have to make de	cisions that are unpopular, this can be due to the context of these decisions not			1	2	3	4	
ervices (measured via surveys, media coverage, customer relations activity, etc.) and an assessment of the uality of its engagement (both listening and telling).					Imj	pact		
	ed Demand for Adult's Services, Complexity and Demand for Children's Services,	Lead Service (	Com	mittee	: Corpoi	ate Poli	cy Com	mittee
Key Mitigating Controls:								

### **Communication & Media**

- Ensure that information about the Council, its services and how to access them is easily available in a range of formats for a wide range of audiences
- Communications strategies for key projects, issues, decisions and service changes developed agreed and reviewed with senior stakeholders and decision makers (internal and external communication)
- Positive proactive communication across multiple channels to celebrate the council's successes and achievements.
- Comms programme is planned and reviewed over the short-term (daily) and the long-term (monthly / annually), including review of committee forward plans, council service plans, consultation and engagement programmes.
- Communications handling requirement for each service committee/full council meeting agreed with lead officer(s)
- Continue to develop proactive direct comms to be issued via e-mail / SMS we currently have 56,426 subscribers for 'push' notifications across a range of topics
- Regular internal communications to members and officers
- Monitoring and reporting of organisational reputation and sentiment, (social and traditional media). This includes weekly analysis report for senior managers.
- Monitor public sector press (e.g. MJ and LGC) and maintain and develop relationships with these media outlets to maximise opportunities for positive coverage.
- Communications and media function advised at an early stage of all future demand and emerging issues to enable effective planning
- Media training programme for key spokespersons
- Use performance management reports for council services and programmes to identify reputational opportunities and risks at an early stage.
- Providing a 24/7 emergency communications on call function
- Media relations protocol and approvals process including protocol(s) for partnership communications where required.

Target Date for Completion

- Review communications business continuity, priorities and emergency / crisis comms protocols and plans
- Regular meetings with comms leads from public sector partner organisations to collaborate, share plans and intelligence
- Flexible use of social media and digital communication platforms

#### Consultation

- Endeavor to undertaken consultation when proposals are still at a formative stage.
- Design consultation which clearly sets out the reasons for any proposal or change to enable stakeholders to undertake informed consideration and response to the
  options.
- Consultation and engagement activity will be used as evidence when making decisions through informative consultation summary reports and adequate time will be given between the end of a consultation and a decision is made, to allow for consideration of and where required, a response to, the output of a consultation or engagement.
- Equality Impact Assessments (EIA) are completed, appropriate for the purpose of use and that they are approved by Head of Service before any consultation can begin.
- Make it clear how consultation and engagement activity, EIA and other intelligence has been conscientiously taken into account when finalising the decision.
- Use the equality impact assessment toolkit, guidance, and template to provide clarity around what the equality impact assessment is and how it should be used.
- Equality champions to be supported by annual impact assessment training
- Resident surveys findings to be used to assess levels of resident satisfaction with the Council

### Actions (Monitoring):

### Communication & Media

Review communications and engagement strategy in the context of Corporate Peer Challenge Action plan, new Q3/Q4 2024/25 (aligned to new Cheshire East plan Cheshire East plan, and wider transformation and improvement work (Provide progress reports to CPC every circuments – once a revised communications and engagement strategy has been approved and adopted)

six months – once a revised communications and engagement strategy has been approved and adopted)	
Provide communications support for transformation and improvement, financial management and	March 2025
implementation of MTFS proposals to ensure all stakeholders are well-informed about any changes to service	
and policy (Review annually)	
Consultation:	
Complete the service restructure to enable recruitment to vacant posts in the research and consultation team	2024/25
Better align the relationship between communication, engagement and consultation functions (Review annually)	Q3 2024/25
Explore options to strengthen resident engagement to inform decision making e.g. citizen/resident panel	October 2024
(Review annually)	
Develop a consultation and engagement programme (Review annually)	October 2024
Ensure that officers undertake regular training aligned with roles and responsibilities (Review annually)	March 2025

### Comments this quarter:

Communication & Media: In November 2024, as part of senior management restructure, a new service 'engagement and communications' has been created to bring together consultation and communications functions, supporting actions in response to LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Action Plan. Key developments impacting on stakeholder perception of the organisation in Q3 include:

- Devolution White Paper
- Council finances, provisional finance settlement and Exceptional Financial Support
- Implementation of parking review
- Food waste / three weekly collections proposals
- Highways maintenance

- HSE investigation
- Catering service in extra care housing
- Alternative rail proposals
- Armed Forces Covenant Gold award
- Longridge inquiry

**Consultation:** Consultations during the period have included:

- Interim Housing Strategy
- Home repairs and adaptations policy
- Twilight meetings survey
- School admission arrangements
- Residual waste collections

During this period, the public and stakeholder engagement to inform budget setting started. This year, an alternative approach has been taken. In previous years, the council has asked residents for their views on a wide range of specific proposals for savings, growth and changes to services to balance the budget and deliver priorities. However, while uncertainties about funding and forecast demands for services remain and during the ongoing development of financial plans, the council is asking residents about what they think the council should prioritise when making its financial decisions, before proposals for a full balanced budget are put forward. This engagement activity continues into Q4 2024/25.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: Q4 2024/25

Risk Name: Information Security and Cyber Threat Risk Owner: Executive Director Section 151 Officer						
Risk Ref: SR13	Date Updated: 16 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	Risk Manager: ICT Programme Managers				
Risk Description: (Cause) The educe costs and fulfil commun	singly vulnerable <sup>4</sup> <sup>Gross</sup>					
to a security breach, and, or loss of information, either maliciously or inadvertently from within the Council or from external attacks by cyber-criminals. (Impact) This could result in many negative impacts, such as loss of information, distress to individuals, legal, financial, and reputational damage to the Council, in addition to the possible penetration and crippling of the		distress to ਤੁ3				
Council's IT systems preventing it from delivering its Corporate Outcomes.						
	1					
		1 2 3 4				
		Impact				
and Communication. It also has	has interdependencies with corporate risk Business Continuity and Stakeholder s links to the Financial Resilience risk, as funds for maintenance and replaceme ain on assets and resilience of information security controls.	Lean Service Committee: Comorate Policy				
Key Mitigating Controls:	·					
	te of and reports on Information Risk to the Corporate Leadership Team and the	e Audit and Governance Committee and makes the Annual				
Statement of Internal C	Control of Information Risk.					

- The Council has a number of Information and Data Security policies which are published on the Centranet and help to protect from the Council from inappropriate and unauthorised access and communicates what to do in the case of an incident. Policies; Information Security Policy Overview, ICT Access Policy, ICT Communications and Operations Policy, ICT Computer, Telephone and Desk Use Policy, ICT Email and Messaging Policy, ICT Flexible and Mobile Device Policy, ICT Incident management Policy, ICT Infrastructure Policy, ICT Internet Policy, ICT Legal Responsibilities for Data Policy, ICT Personnel Standards for Information Security, ICT Protection Policy, ICT Removable Media Policy and ICT Software Policy. Policies review and guidance materials updated to strengthen advice to staff on how to manage various information types
- Progress on Information Risk and Information Security is monitored through the Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC), Strategic Information Governance Group (SIGG) and the IG Collaboration Group.
- The Council has an Incident Reporting process which has been communicated to staff, all incidents are scored and assessed by SIGG to ensure that the breaches are minimised, and future breaches are reduced.
- The Council complies with the Public Services Network PSN Code of Connection, NHS Data Security and Protection Toolkit, DWP's MOU and NHS Digital controls, work continues with the consolidation and enhancement of elements of the security estate to meet the ever-developing threat profiles. This includes third party IT hardware and software tests undertaken by accredited security vendors, these validate that the network and hardware are secure and robust, if any vulnerabilities are found then a mitigation plan is drawn up and actioned.
- The Council has an Information Asset Register which is reviewed on an annual basis and has been published on the open data portal.
- There is also an Information Assurance Data Management (IADM) programme of activity to increase awareness and maturity of information assurance and governance across the Council. The programme is tasked with guiding the organisation to manage its information in a compliant and efficient way.
- Data Classification has been rolled out to the organisation; this allows the categorisation of information so that appropriate controls can be employed to protect the information.

- The Council provides security and compliance e-learning modules (which are mandatory for all employees) on the Learning Lounge. This includes several modules of Data handling, Cyber Security, and Information Assurance. There are also several best practice guides on the Councils Lighthouse on the best ways to use technology and to protect information. These modules and best practice guides are updated regularly to reflect changes in working practices and as a response to additional threats.
- In addition, proactive testing is carried out across the council to gauge the level of compliance and understanding of cyber best practice, this testing is followed up with additional support and training for those that need it. This process will raise the maturity and level of understanding to ensure that the Council has an adequate level of cyber readiness across its workforce.
- Controls are in place to restrict access to the data centres and network equipment and risk assessments of existing systems and networks are on-going.
- The Council's ICT Services have a strategic direction to move to a "Cloud First" principle, whilst this enables an evergreen environment which is always up to date, additional controls are needed to prevent compromise or inappropriate use and access. This includes contract compliance and monitoring to ensure ongoing protection of information. To support the strategic direction and architecture principles all technical solutions are reviewed at the Technical Design Authority to ensure correct alignment.
- In addition, the Council is moving to Zero Trust architecture, this is a direct result of increased threats posed to the working infrastructure. This shift is in line with the latest thinking and guidelines issued by the NCSC.
- In support of this a high-level business case for Infrastructure Investment of which Security & Compliance is an element was submitted and subsequently approved. This additional funding will be used to develop the necessary tools to start the implementation.

Actions (Monitoring):	Target Date for Completion:
Identity Management (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC), Information Assurance and Data Management (IADM))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Application Management (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Data Security (Information Security Steering Committee (ISSC))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Data Quality (Information Assurance and Data Management (IADM))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)
Information Management (Information Assurance and Data Management (IADM))	March 2025 (Multiyear project)

**Comments this quarter:** No change to the risk rating currently. There is continued heightened risk currently through state sponsored attacks and increased ransomware activity. The NCSC have reported "Cyber-attacks are becoming more frequent and severe, posing a greater risk to British organizations and the public." Of the incident reported to the NCSC 89 were deemed nationally significant, including 12 critical incidents, a threefold increase compared to last year.

Identity Management/Data Quality – The employee directory within Unit4 and the Identity Management solution have been linked through the Master Data Management solution enabling the harmonisation of employee accounts, this will ensure that redundant accounts are deleted in a timely manner. Redundant and unused accounts are a weak element in any cyber security estate. This will ensure that data elements related to employee such as job title, organisation structure will be accurate ensuring a useable Resource Directory is created and licensing details will be current.

Use of the Master Data Management solution ensures that quality exists in key data elements across the entire organisation, it ensures that data/information is not siloed and is shared where relevant.

Data Security – Several companies have been contacted that specialise in Security Operations to understand what level of support we could receive as part of a managed service to provide security and protection across a larger timeframe i.e. 24/7.

Information Management – The Enterprise Content Management project to move legacy filestore onto SharePoint applying retention is ensuring that the information the organisation holds is current and compliant. This is increasingly important not only for compliance purposes but also with the rise and use of AI that information is relevant and timely.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: N/A

isk Name: Business Continuity						<b>Risk Owner:</b> Director of Governance, Compliance and Monitoring Officer							
Risk Ref: SR14	Date updated: 17 <sup>th</sup> January 2025	Risk N	lan	ager	: Hea	d of Au	udit, Risł	& Assura					
isk that, some or all, of the counc imeframes after a disruption. A di	in business continuity after an unusual or unexpected, disruptive event or events. The services, projects or initiatives are unable to resume operations within the expected uptive event, or multiple events, may occur either in isolation, or across the whole			4									
organisation. Detailed consequences: The cour	icil has a number of safeguarding obligations to its residents, a failure could result in it		Likelihood	3			Net	Gross					
in unacceptable loss of local serv	ions, and as such not protect them from mental or physical harm. Failures may also cause ices.	•	5	2			Target						
common causes of a material failu	ed causes: The unavailability of staff, ICT systems, equipment or a suitable working environment are the most non causes of a material failure. Many different social, economic, environmental or public health factors can drive the			1									
auses to manifest themselves. Underlying this may also be a failure to reasonably allocate resources to eliminate s pints of failure in these areas.	nderlying this may also be a failure to reasonably allocate resources to eliminate single				1	2 In	3 npact	4					
nterdependencies (risks): Information Security and Cyber Threat, Pandemic Virus, Fragility in the Social Care Market, Council Funding, Organisational Capacity & Demand					Lead Service Committee: Corporate Policy Committee								
<ul> <li>BC impact assessments mitigation plans.</li> <li>High level course on Bus</li> <li>ICT Shared Service also recovery plan overview of</li> </ul>	ervice area – clear format, identifying critical and serious priority activities with recovery ti undertaken across the organisation to understand challenges to service delivery ahead o siness Continuity Management has been added to the Council's Learning Lounge have a Crisis Recovery Plan that has been updated to take account of lessons learned a contains key information for the ICT Shared Service disaster recovery ergency Planning Shared Service	known e	evei	nts, i		C		C					
ctions (Monitoring):		Target	: Da	te fo	r Co	mpletio	on:						
	ss Continuity Framework (2-year review cycle, delayed start due to other work pressures, licy framework changes more broadly)	Noverr	nbei	<sup>.</sup> 202	4								
evelopment of SharePoint BC ter	mplates and processes	March	202	25									
collout and training for the BC ter	nplates and processes	June 2	025	5									
joing forwards. Based on feedbac	nned BC workshops were delivered in Q3, they were received well and raised a number of the from services the development of a SharePoint based app would not be expected to de dated during Q4, after which services will be supported in reviewing and updating plans. Nan acceptable level: TBC	liver the	req	uired	l flexil	bility. T	he existi	o processo ing format					

Risk Name: Capital Projects - Place				Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place								
Risk Ref: SR15	Date updated: 23 <sup>rd</sup> Janua	ry 2025	Risk Manager: Place Directors and Business Mana									
Risk Description: Failure to deliver major ca	pital projects. (particular focus on Middlewic	h Eastern Bypass)										
Impact: The council delivers a broad range of capital The delay to the DfT decision on the Middlew has brought uncertainty to overall programme	vich Eastern Bypass and to the Council's C	apital Programme Review		4				Gross Net				
Continued delay, or ultimately cancellation of for the Council. Delays cause increased costs	d in the Local Plan Strategy. ed delay, or ultimately cancellation of the MEB would have significant financial and reputational implications council. Delays cause increased costs and affect affordability. The delivery uncertainty could lead to tion of major economic regeneration projects and would mean that the very significant costs (c£25m)		Likelihood	2								
expended to date would need to be charged t significantly worsen he Council's current finar	to revenue budgets in the year of cancellatio				1	2	3	4				
Likelihood: Medium to High- there have already been sig Coupled with the recent exceptionally high inf lead to budgetary challenges	flation rates in construction, the uncertainty t	his leads to is very likely to	Impact									
Interdependencies (risks): Failure to Achiev Economy	ve the MTFS, CEC Carbon Neutral Status, F		Lead Service Committee: Economy and Growth, Environment and Communities, Highways and Trans									
<ul> <li>Internal governance is in place to me appropriate for a project of this size.</li> <li>At a strategic level, internal decision</li> <li>The overall Capital Strategy and over the rest of the capital programme.</li> </ul>	rnance has been established to oversee the onitor the impacts of delay and increased co is were taken to support the resubmission of erall Capital Programme is presented annual en underway for some time of all schemes in	sts at a project level. These the Full Business case to th ly as part of the Medium-Te	ne departmer rm Financial	nt for Stra	Transp tegy to s	ort in Se show the	ptember MEB p	r. roject alongside				
Actions (Monitoring):	en underway for some time of all schemes in	Target Date for Completi		el all	loruabili	ty. The c	Juicome	is awalled.				
Lobbying at a political level for a quick positiv uncertainty (By CLT – regular performance up		On-going	•									
Updating costs estimates and funding advand programme and current cost estimates so tha decision (MEB monthly project board)	ced works where possible to maintain the at construction can start asap after funding	On-going										
<b>Comments this quarter:</b> No change to risk; enable a swift start on site should a positive c programme will be needed. Blight notice with	decision be made by the end of Feb 2025. By	/ next update, it will be clear	r whether a f	urthe								
Timescale for managing risk to an accepta their impact if a risk is realised. It is not realist	able level: Major capital projects by their nat	ure are high risk. The contro			to proac	tively ma	anage ris	sks and mitigate				

Risk Name: CEC Carbon Neutral Status				Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place							
Risk Ref: SR17	Date updated: 9th January 2025		<b>Risk Manager:</b> Head of Environmental Services								
	Failure to achieve Carbon Neutral status for the Council by the 2027 milestone target due to requirement to nsents, seek viable and affordable solutions and other external market forces outside the Councils control.						Gross Net Target				
ikelihood is based on a number of external factors, pa	r of external factors, partnerships and key outcomes being delivered in a timely manner.										
Impact will result in non-delivery of a key priority in the Council's Corporate Plan. It will also contribute to climate change temperature rise and severe weather events which could have an impact on public health and safety. It could also have fir implications with increased need for adaptation of key infrastructure for severe weather events across the borough.			Likelihood 5								
			1								
				1	2	3	4				
						npact					
nterdependencies (risks): Economy and World Even	nts, Recruitment and Retention, Council funding, Capital Projects	Lead Com			ommitte	e: Envir	onment and				
<ul> <li>Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro</li> <li>Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver</li> </ul>		ing group	)								
<ul> <li>Risk is reviewed as part of the Brighter Future</li> <li>Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro</li> <li>Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver</li> <li>Annual update on progress reported to releva</li> <li>Climate change is a key consideration as part</li> </ul>	gramme Board reviewing progress and risks monthly ry int committee t of our statutory planning duties as an authority and within the develop			blannin	g policy						
<ul> <li>Risk is reviewed as part of the Brighter Future</li> <li>Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro</li> <li>Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver</li> <li>Annual update on progress reported to releva</li> <li>Climate change is a key consideration as part</li> <li>Planned natural offset set at 10% more than r</li> </ul>	gramme Board reviewing progress and risks monthly ry int committee	ment of l	ocal p	planning	g policy						
<ul> <li>Risk is reviewed as part of the Brighter Future</li> <li>Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro</li> <li>Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver</li> <li>Annual update on progress reported to releva</li> <li>Climate change is a key consideration as part</li> </ul>	agramme Board reviewing progress and risks monthly ry int committee t of our statutory planning duties as an authority and within the develop required to reduce risk of non-delivery in any one project area Target Date for Cor e of the corporate capital review, progress paused TBC	ment of l	ocal p	blannin	g policy						
Risk is reviewed as part of the Brighter Future     Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro     Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver     Annual update on progress reported to releva     Climate change is a key consideration as part     Planned natural offset set at 10% more than r Actions (Monitoring): Cheshire East Capital Spend Review (Awaiting outcome     on 2nd Solar farm, next phase of EV and next tree plant Comments this quarter: At Q1 and Q2, the ongoing re     significant risk to the Council's commitment to becomin     vehicles and the progression of the Council's second s     rarget scores remain at the highest possible rating.	agramme Board reviewing progress and risks monthly ry int committee t of our statutory planning duties as an authority and within the develop required to reduce risk of non-delivery in any one project area Target Date for Cor e of the corporate capital review, progress paused ting program until the review is complete) review of the Council's capital programme, which has halted further spe- ng a carbon-neutral organisation by 2027. This pause in spending has solar farm, which are key initiatives required to meet the 2027 target. As n previously authorised project spending. These include the completion	ment of land	carb the of thi	on-rela orderin s six-m il's first	ted proj g of the ionth de solar fa	next ph lay, the rm, the	ase of EV net and installation				
Risk is reviewed as part of the Brighter Future     Carbon Neutral Program established with Pro     Member Advisory group overseeing its deliver     Annual update on progress reported to releva     Climate change is a key consideration as part     Planned natural offset set at 10% more than r Actions (Monitoring): Cheshire East Capital Spend Review (Awaiting outcome     on 2nd Solar farm, next phase of EV and next tree plant Comments this quarter: At Q1 and Q2, the ongoing re     significant risk to the Council's commitment to becomin     vehicles and the progression of the Council's second s     rarget scores remain at the highest possible rating. Despite this, we have achieved significant milestones in electric charging infrastructure for 23 vehicles, and the	agramme Board reviewing progress and risks monthly ry int committee t of our statutory planning duties as an authority and within the develop required to reduce risk of non-delivery in any one project area Target Date for Cor e of the corporate capital review, progress paused ting program until the review is complete) review of the Council's capital programme, which has halted further spectrum agramme a carbon-neutral organisation by 2027. This pause in spending has abolar farm, which are key initiatives required to meet the 2027 target. As n previously authorised project spending. These include the completion delivery of electric vehicles to street cleansing south and community v lation of air source heat pumps and roof-mounted solar panels on Cou	nding on prevented a result of the C ardens.	carb carb d the of thi	on-rela orderin s six-m il's first onally,	ted proj g of the onth de solar fa ongoing	next ph lay, the rm, the public	ase of EV net and installation sector				

<b>3</b>	ernisation Plan		Risk Owner: Executive Director of Place							
Risk Ref: SR18	Date updated: 23 <sup>rd</sup> January 2025		Risk Manager: Head of Planning							
Environment & Communities Committee on ransformation of the service. This Plan will The recommendations are many and varied planned transformation of the service, perpe- eputation of the organisation. Detailed consequences: Failure to achieve n its Local Planning Authority role, result in	ning Authority Review and Service Transformation' report and presentation of 31 October 2022 a Modernisation Plan has been developed to achieve the carry forward the recommendations made, document actions and monitor d but failure to complete the actions of the Modernisation Plan will undermine etuating and potentially extending the issues identified and undermining the completion of the Modernisation Plan will undermine the performance of the non-compliance with statutory processes, and reputational damage to the thin agreed timescales, the potential for additional budget pressure increas	e progress. he the e e Council Council.	Likelihood	4 3 2 1		Net Targe	Gross			
also 'doing the day job', Interim Service Rev new ICT system, failure to engage with inte he Planning Transformation Board/Steering		deliver ght from			1	2 3 Impact	4			
nterdependencies (risks): Organisational	I Capacity and Demand, Reputation, Council Funding,		Lead S Commu			nittee: Envi	ronment a			
<ul> <li>Monitoring of progress against Movies (1998)</li> <li>Regular meetings of Interim Direct established to review workstream and internal Audit reports on Communi</li> <li>IT System Project has its own Project has project ha</li></ul>	eport 31/10/2022; Local Planning Authority Review and Service Transformate odernisation Plan by Transformation Board and Environment and Communit tor of Planning and Head of Planning and team managers to review action and focus priorities feeding into Transformation Board. ity Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 will inform the scope of a member/of ject Board attended by supplier. recruitment during manager's meetings.	ties Commi logs and pr	ittee. rogress	on ke			g Group			
Actions (Monitoring):		Target Da	get Date for Completion							
T System Project escalation due to breach	of contract (Weekly team and fortnightly Project Board meetings or as	Q3 2024/2								
needed)										
External support from Capita retained to as	sist with backlog. Backlog funding secured from DLUHC, intervention from nued monthly reporting on application backlog)	Q2 2024/2	25							
External support from Capita retained to ass senior officers on older applications (Contin		Q2 2024/2 Q4 2024/2								

Recruitment to restructure now ongoing. Update to S106 process and procedures now largely complete following sharing of ward report sand commencement of quarterly update meetings with services. Tree Risk Management / Building Control Performance added to Improvement Board to ensure new Strategy and processes are effectively prioritised.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: TBC

Risk Name: Delivery of the ILACS Improvement Plan		Risk Owner: Executive Director of Chil Services							
Risk Ref: SR19 Date updated: 29 <sup>th</sup> Janu	Date updated: 29 <sup>th</sup> January 2025			Risk Manager: Improvement and Imp Board					
<b>Risk Description:</b> That children's services do not achieve the improvements needed at the necessary p findings from the Ofsted inspection in February and March 2024, where children's services received an o nadequate. This would mean that vulnerable children and young people in need of a children's social ca receive consistently good quality support.	ren's services received an overall judgement of					Gross			
		pood	3			Net Target			
There is a significant reputational and financial risk to not delivering improvements. If services remain inadequants and financial risk to not delivering improvements. If services remain inadequants and encoded to be put in place, for example escalation to a Statutory Dispection, further intervention measures may need to be put in place, for example escalation to a Statutory Dispection that another person or organisation performs the functions on the local authority's behalf. These measing inficant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people's needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people is needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people is needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people is needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people is needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and mean that we are not meeting children and young people is needs effectively be a significant cost to the council and the council		Likelihood	2						
			1	2	3	4			
				Impa	act				
<ul> <li>Achieve the MTFS</li> <li>Key Mitigating Controls: <ul> <li>A comprehensive improvement plan is in place to address the inspection findings. The plan has council's leadership team, members of the two children's committees, and full Council. Advice f authorities, has been sought and incorporated into the plan.</li> <li>Progress and impact against the improvement plan is monitored monthly through an independe quality assurance and performance in relation to the plan. Independent improvement specialists</li> <li>Reports on progress against the plan are provided to every Children and Families Committee n</li> <li>Progress and impact will be externally evaluated through Ofsted monitoring visits and DfE reviewers</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	rom the LGA and Ofster ently chaired Improveme s from the DfE and LGA neeting for oversight and	e Safegu d, along nt and li are me	with go npact B nbers o	Children's Pa od practice fr oard. This ind	om oth	er			
	Target Date for Compl	etion:							
	Monthly								
Report to the Children and Families Committee on progress against the improvement plan (At each Children and Families Committee Meeting)	Every committee meetir	ng from	Septeml	ber					
Adapt the improvement plan to respond to areas for improvement from Ofsted and DfE monitoring Improvement and Impact Board and Children and Families Committee following monitoring activity)	March 2025								
Provide scrutiny and challenge to the delivery of the improvement Board governance arrangements – mprovement and Performance Meetings (Improvement and Performance Meetings)	Monthly from January 2	025							
	October 2024								
	January 2025	_							
<b>Comments this quarter:</b> New governance arrangements have been agreed and put in place to support ncludes an oversight group to provide scrutiny and challenge around the progress of the plan, which is u									

front-line staff have also been invited to inform our service developments and contribute to the workstreams to ensure accountability from front line practice through to the senior leadership team. Co-production against appropriate actions has also been progressed to involve Children and their families.

The plan has been reviewed and strengthened under these arrangements identifying independencies and ensuring timescales are realistic and achievable. We have also agreed how we will quality assure that actions have had the intended impact. Work to progress those completed over 6 months are now being tested.

Cheshire East Council is expecting a monitoring visit from Ofsted in February 2025. Preparation activity has taken place to ensure we accurately understand ourselves and we are addressing our areas for improvement, including a partnership group to progress the peer review of the front door to children's social care.

Safeguarding Partnership arrangements have now been established to facilitate a more effective partnership and new structure approved. The Safeguarding Board has now been refreshed as part of those new arrangements and the remaining structure changes will be in place by April.

Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: April 2026

Risk Name: SEND Inspection			<b>Risk Owner:</b> Executive Director of Chi Services						
	Date updated: 30 <sup>th</sup> Janu		<b>Risk Manager:</b> 0-25 SEND and AP Partnership Board and 0-25 SEND Ex Oversight Panel						
an Ofsted and CQC area SEND inspection. This would mean that vulnerable cl	<b>Description:</b> That as a partnership, Cheshire East's children's and adults services do not receive a positive outcome front sted and CQC area SEND inspection. This would mean that vulnerable children and young people with SEND would not been receiving consistently good quality support. There is also a significant reputational and financial risk to a poor							Gross	
inspection outcome.			Likelihood	3				Net	
			Likeli	2				Target	
				1					
					1	2 Imp	3 Dact	4	
Interdependencies (risks): Increased Demand for Adult's Services, Complexi Achieve the MTFS	ity and Demand for Childr	en's Services, Failure to	Lead	Serv	ice Con	nmittee:			
<ul> <li>Key Mitigating Controls:</li> <li>A partnership SEND inspection planning group is in place to drive and</li> <li>A self-evaluation of the quality of our SEND services has been complete be refreshed on at least a six monthly basis</li> <li>A SEND improvement team is being stepped up to drive service trans</li> <li>A project plan is being developed for the transformation activity, based</li> </ul>	eted ensuring we underst	and ourselves well again					nis will c	ontinue to	
Actions (Monitoring):	u on the previous Salety	Target Date for Comple		5 31	alegy p	nonues			
Establish the SEND transformation plan, with delivery firmly underway (Bi-mon through the SEND Partnership Board)	thly (every 2 months)	June 2025							
Refresh the self evaluation of SEND support (Six monthly through the SEND in	spection planning group)	Refreshed every six mo	nths as	a m	inimum				
<b>Comments this quarter:</b> Work to embed the new 0-25 SEND Partnership gov Action Group (TAG) taking place along with a refresh of the 0-25 SEND and AF			meeting	gs of	the nev	v SEND	Transfo	rmation	
The Strategic Lead for SEND has worked with various services (both within the self-evaluation information, as part of producing the Children's Services SEF al required inspection evidence for a SEND inspection and has included several f provision and health.	head of the upcoming no	rth-west peer review. Wo	ork has	also	continu	ed on th	e collatio	on of	
Timescale for managing risk to an acceptable level: December 2025									